

MALADMINISTRATION AND PLAGIARISM POLICY 2023-24

Overall responsibility: Deputy Principal

Implementation: Assistant Principal Teaching, Learning & Quality

Date issued: June 2023
Date for review: July 2024

Endorsed and approved by Policy & Strategy Group Date: June 2023

Pat Brennan-Barrett

PB Barrell

Principal

Contents

1.	. INTRODUCTION	3
2.	RESPONSIBILITY	3
3.	POLICY STATEMENT	3
4.	KEY PRINCIPLES	3
5.	THE PROCEDURE	3
	a) College Staff	3
	b) College Students	4
	c) Plagiarism	
	d) Student malpractice examples: Error! Bookma	rk not defined.
	d) Student malpractice examples:	
	. Reporting	5
6. 7.	. Reporting	5 5
6. 7. 8.	Reporting Associated Policies	5 5 5
6. 7. 8. Ap	Reporting Associated Policies Appendices:	5 5 5
6. 7. 8. Ar Ar	Reporting	5 5 7

1. INTRODUCTION

Malpractice/Maladministration means a contravening of the regulatory requirements pertaining to the assessment process (including the conduct of examinations), which puts at risk the integrity, credibility and validity of a qualification, its assessment and student certificates.

Malpractice/Maladministration may include a range of issues from the failure to maintain appropriate records or systems, to the deliberate falsification of records in order to claim certificates.

Plagiarism is the incorporation by a student of material which is not their own for purposes of assessment. This may include copying all or substantial parts of their assessed work from other sources and presenting this work as their own, whether intentional or not.

2. RESPONSIBILITY

Deputy Principal – Patrick Leavey

3. POLICY STATEMENT

Northampton College is committed to upholding the integrity of its qualifications. This policy is aimed at staff and students within the assessment and examination process.

4. KEY PRINCIPLES

- At the start of their programme all students will have a clear introduction into Northampton College's malpractice/maladministration/plagiarism policy and procedures and the possible consequences through College and Course Handbooks.
- 2. All Northampton College staff will have a clear introduction into the policy and the possible consequences via the Staff Handbook.
- College staff will be expected to read and understand the Awarding Organisation's policy of Assessment Malpractice to which the qualification pertains. This will include the JCQ process for examinations.
- 4. Any disciplinary action will follow the procedures laid down in the College Student Disciplinary Policy, College Staff Disciplinary Policy and for HE (Higher Education) students this will be the Disciplinary Policy of the Awarding Organisation.

5. THE PROCEDURE

a) College Staff

Malpractice (incorporating maladministration) means to contravene or ignore awarding organisations' regulatory requirements pertaining to the assessment process (including the conduct of examinations), which puts at risk the integrity, credibility and validity of a qualification, its assessment and student certifications, or the effective operation of the centre as a whole.

Examples could include:

- failing to keep mark schemes secure.
- falsification/alteration of assessment records or results documentation.
- assisting students in the production of work for assessment, where the support has the potential
 to influence the outcomes of assessment, for example where the assistance involves college
 staff.
- producing work for the student.
- facilitating and allowing impersonation.
- fraudulent certificate claims, i.e., claiming for a certificate prior to the student completing all the requirements of assessment.
- obtaining unauthorised access of assessment/examination/test material prior to an assessment/examination test.
- copying from another student (including the use of ICT to aid copying) or allowing work to be copied.

This list is not exhaustive, and staff should refer to the qualification awarding organisation rules, regulations and quality procedures.

Awarding organisations expect Northampton College staff to co-operate fully with any investigations into cases of suspected or actual malpractice.

Staff who discover or suspect malpractice must immediately report this to the Quality Office.

b) College Students

Malpractice means to break a rule or ignore the awarding organisations' City & Guilds, Edexcel, JCQ, etc legal requirements regarding the assessment process, (including the conduct of examinations), which puts at risk the quality, value and validity of a qualification, its assessment and student certifications, or the effective operation of the centre as a whole. Examples could include:

- Having mobile phones switched on during examinations or controlled assessments.
- Wearing a Smart Watch during an exam or controlled assessment.
- Sitting an exam for someone else or asking someone else to sit an exam for you.
- Misuse of assessment/examination material for example sharing information about examinations or controlled assessments if you have sat your exam earlier than other students.
- Using notes during a closed book assessment.
- Working with other students to produce work that is submitted as individual student work.
- Behaving in such a way as to undermine the integrity of the assessment/examination.
- Deliberate destruction of another's work.

The above list is not exhaustive and students should listen to exam invigilators, tutors and assessors when instructions are given. Students should also ask if instructions do not seem clear.

c) Plagiarism

Plagiarism is where a student incorporates material that is not their own (this will include copying all or substantial parts of their assessed work from other sources, such as books, CDs, internet sources or other people's work) into their assessment(s), and presenting it as their own, whether intentional or not.

Examples include:

• The deliberate (intentional) copying of work from other students or sources and presenting it as your own work in formal assessment situations.

• Using extracts and / or quotations and / or diagrams and pictures from the work of other students or sources without any citation or referencing (maybe unintentional plagiarism).

The increase in Artificial Intelligence (AI) tools (e.g., ChatGPT, Google Bard) has led to the need for addition to the Malpractice, Maladministration and Plagiarism Policy. Al-Assisted plagiarism is the use of AI generated text in assignments and assessments that are submitted towards achievement of qualifications. AI is a powerful tool that can have benefits, for example to help to overcome linguistic barriers, but when used to generate the bulk of an assignment or assessment, is a form of plagiarism and is subject to the same sanctions as standard plagiarism.

If any form of plagiarism (including Al-assisted) of assessments or assignments is suspected, you may be asked questions about the work you have submitted to check your understanding of the assignment / assessment subject(s). If there are still concerns that work has been subject to malpractice or plagiarism, this will be treated as academic misconduct and will be subject to investigation and possible action under the College Disciplinary procedure as defined in the Student Behaviour and Disciplinary Policy.

All students will receive a study skills session at the beginning of their course which will explain how to use and how not to use Al tools.

6. Reporting

- Any suspected maladministration or malpractice, including all forms of plagiarism will be reported to the Quality Office who will contact the Awarding Organisation according to their requirements.
- Staff Disciplinary Records.
- · Student Disciplinary Records.

7. Associated Policies

- Student Behaviour and Disciplinary Policy
- Staff Disciplinary Policy
- Student Assessment Policy
- JCQ Handbook

8. Appendices:

Appendix 1: Record of Investigation into Alleged Malpractice

Appendix 2: Equality & Diversity Impact Assessment

Appendix 3: Data Protected Impact Assessment

Appendix 4: Communications Plan

Appendix 1: Record of Investigation into Alleged Malpractice

0. 1 . / 0. ff N			
Student / Staff Name:	.		T = .
Date range of alleged offence:	From:		To:
Course Details:	Course:		Tutor / Line
			Manager:
Datail of alloged offenses			
Detail of alleged offence:			
Action taken:			Disciplinary
(relate to the relevant			Stage:
disciplinary process)			Stage.
discipilitary process)			
Authorised Signatory:	Name:		
(Curriculum Manager /	Signature:		
School Assistant Principal)	Date:		
	24.6.		
Student Signature:	Name:		
Stadent orginatare.	Signature:		
	Date:		
	Dute.		
Checklist:		Action Taken:	Date:
	Copy of relev	rant disciplinary policy issued.	
	copy of relev	ant disciplinary policy issued.	
	Inform staff /	student of the outcome of further proven	
	misconduct.	stauent of the outcome of farmer proven	
		Issue Letter	
	First	Final Suspension Exclusion	
		this form and letter to parents / carers if	
	under 18	and received parents y carers in	
	3		
	Issue conv of	this form and letter to Curriculum Manager	
		incipal of School / HR	
	,		

Appendix 2: EQUALITY & DIVERSITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT

This form should be used by managers and policy authors within their area of responsibility to carry out Equality & Diversity Impact Assessments (E&DIAs) in relation to protected characteristics, specifically: Age, Sex, Disability, Gender reassignment, Race, Religion or Belief, Sexual Orientation, Pregnancy & Maternity and Marriage & Civil Partnership.

The word 'policy' is taken to include strategies, policies, procedures and guidance notes; formal and informal, internal and external.

The Impact Assessment may be carried out on any policy, service, function or plan you are engaged in, or are about to commence. All policies should be clearly stated. However, in reality, some policies are built into everyday procedures and customs, therefore not all policies are open to inspection and review. Any assessment of a policy should include these customs and practices as well as the formal written policy. 'Functions' means your duties and powers and includes internal and external functions, including service delivery.

Policy Details					
What is the policy?	Malpractice/Maladministration/Plagiarism Policy				
Is it new or existing?	Existing				
Department	Quality				
Policy Author (postholder title, name)	Jenny Thorpe – Assistant Principal – Teaching, Learning & Quality				
Author of Equality Analysis	Jenny Thorpe – Assistant Principal – Teaching, Learning & Quality				
Date of completion	16/6/22				

Aim and Objectives

Briefly describe the aims and objectives of the policy

To satisfy Awarding Organisations requirements that there is a process in place for student and staff maladministration/malpractice.

Policy Assessment

Consider whether your policy might have an impact on various groups identified within the categories listed below and explain why you have reached this conclusion.

Please tick (V) the identified level of impact (positive, negative, or no impact) and provide details of your findings.

	Positive	Negative	No	Findings
	Impact	Impact	Impact	
Race			✓	
Religion and/or belief			✓	
Sex (Gender)			✓	
Gender Identity			✓	
Disability			✓	
Age			✓	
Sexual orientation			✓	
Marriage and/or civil			1	
partnership			, and the second	
Pregnancy and/or				
maternity (including			✓	
surrogacy and adoption)				
Other identified group			1	
(e.g., carers)			,	

Action Planning						
How do you intend to mitigate or	If a positive impact is identified,	Where negative impact has been identified, can it be justified? If so, explain how.				
eliminate any negative impact	how do you intend to promote or					
identified?	develop this opportunity?					
N/A	N/A	N/A				

Monitor and Review					
How will you monitor the impact of your policy once it has been put into effect?					
The policy will be monitored through feedback from services users gathered via:					
Names and position of Impact Assessm	nent Team (min of 3 preferably from areas across the College):				
Name					
Jenny Thorpe Assistant Principal – Teaching, Learning & Quality					
Hilary Letts Quality Improvement Lead					
Patrick Leavey Deputy Principal					

Equality Analysis Sign-Off Signature and Date:	Jenny Thorpe
Review Date:	16/6/22

Appendix 3: DATA PROTECTION IMPACT ASSESSMENT

Data Protection Impact Assessment

Does this Policy

- require the collection and use of data in addition to that normally collected by the College?
 No
- require the sharing of data with partners?

No

1.	Is additional data being collected? If so please detail:
_	Is data collected personal and/or sensitive?
_	How will you collect, use, store and delete data?
2.	Will you be sharing data with anyone? Please detail what data, with who and confirm a Data Sharing Agreement is in place.
	Describe the purposes of the processing / sharing: What are the benefits of the processing/ sharing – for you, and more broadly?
	Consider how to consult with relevant stakeholders: describe when and how you will seek individuals' views – or justify why it is not appropriate to do so.
	Describe compliance and proportionality measures, in particular: What is your lawful basis for processing?

How will you ensure data quality and data minimisation?	
What information will you give individuals?	

Please attach a Risk Assessment if there are significant risks to data protection.

Signed by Data Protection Officer

Name: Julian Wood

Date:

Appendix 4: COMMUNICATIONS PLAN

TITLE OF COLLEGE POLICY:	DATE APPROVED BY
Malpractice/Maladministration/Plagiarism	Policy & Strategy Group or Corporation
	Date:

AUDIENCE (select appropriate with $\sqrt{\ }$)						
Managers	✓	Curriculum teams	✓	Business Support	✓	
				teams		
All staff	✓	Suppliers		Partners		
Other - Students						

CHANNEL (select appropriate with $$)						
Policy & Strategy Team (PST)		Quality Improvement Network (QIN)		Marketing team		
Meeting	✓	Meeting	✓	NC Update Intranet Website		
Individual team		Suppliers		Partners		
Document Library Noticeboards Team meeting Email	✓	e.g. Letter or email Meeting		e.g. Letter or email Meeting		
College Management Team (CMT)		JCNC		CORPORATION		
Meeting		e.g. Meeting Email		e.g. Meeting Email		

COMMUNICATIONS PLAN ACTIVATED BY:		
Name: Jenny Thorpe Department: Quality	Job title: Assistant Principal – Teaching, Learning & Quality	Date: 16/6/23